

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase IX Laws of Minnesota 2019 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 10/05/2022

Project Title: MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase IX

Funds Recommended: \$3,058,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2019, 1st Sp. Session, Ch. 2, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd, 2(c)

Appropriation Language: \$3,058,000 the first year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with The Nature Conservancy to acquire lands in fee and to restore and enhance native prairies, grasslands, wetlands, and savannas. Subject to evaluation criteria in Minnesota Rules, part 6136.0900, priority must be given to acquiring lands that are eligible for the native prairie bank under Minnesota Statutes, section 84.96, or lands adjacent to protected native prairie. No later than 180 days after The Nature Conservancys fiscal year ends, The Nature Conservancy must submit to the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council annual income statements and balance sheets for income and expenses from land acquired with this appropriation. A list of proposed land acquisitions must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan, and the acquisitions must be consistent with the priorities identified in Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan.

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Neal Feeken

Title: Grassland Conservation Program Director

Organization: The Nature Conservancy **Address:** 1101 W River Parkway Suite 200

City: Minneapolis, MN 55415 Email: nfeeken@tnc.org

Office Number: 612-331-0738

Mobile Number: Fax Number: Website:

Location Information

County Location(s): Clay, Big Stone, Stearns, Lyon, Lac qui Parle, Polk, Norman, Swift, Wilkin, Cottonwood, Kittson, Kandiyohi, Becker, Pipestone, Nobles, Lincoln, Traverse, Chippewa, Pennington, Red Lake, Rock, Roseau, Pope, Yellow Medicine, Mahnomen and Murray.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Prairie

Activity types:

- Protect in Fee
- Restore
- Enhance

Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Wetlands
- Prairie
- Habitat

Narrative

Abstract

This project will advance the prairie protection, restoration and enhancement goals established in the 2018 revision of the MN Prairie Conservation Plan. It builds upon the successful model established in Phases 1 - 8 and seeks to protect 240 acres in fee without PILT obligations to be held by The Nature Conservancy, enhance 18,000 acres of permanently protected grasslands, and restore 100 acres of prairie and wetland habitat.

Design and Scope of Work

Protect - An estimated 240 acres of prairie, wetlands, grasslands, and savanna will be permanently protected through fee-title acquisition from willing sellers in 5 prairie core/corridor landscapes as identified in the newly refreshed MN Prairie Conservation Plan. Acquired lands will be prioritized using prior approved criteria that include: percentage of native prairie on the parcel, proximity to other permanently protected areas, quality of habitat and species diversity, and suitability for public recreation. These protected acres approximately will be held by The Nature Conservancy subject to a recorded notice of funding restrictions.

Enhance - An estimated 18,000 acres of grassland/wetland complex will be enhanced on permanently protected lands, including lands purchased with OHF funds and held by the Conservancy, MN DNR Management Units, US Fish and Wildlife Service lands, and private

lands subject to perpetual conservation easements. The primary objectives of our enhancement activities will be to increase native species diversity and improve critical wildlife habitat. A variety of practices and techniques will be implemented to accomplish our

objectives such as: prescribed fire; removal of trees and woody species; invasive species control including mechanical, biological, and chemical control; over-seeding with native seed; and conservation grazing, mowing, or haying. The work will primarily be conducted via contracts with local vendors, Conservation Corps of Minnesota or Student Conservation Association crews and by using Nature Conservancy seasonal and permanent staff. Prairie Recovery Biologists, stationed in the four primary landscapes are responsible for identifying and prioritizing projects in cooperation with our agency partners, selecting and overseeing contracted work and leading and directing seasonal staff. The Biologists are also responsible for participating in and leading Prairie Plan Local Technical Team efforts to increase efficiency and effectiveness of program delivery by multiple partners at the landscape scale.

Restore - Approximately 100 acres of cropland will be restored to diverse local-ecotype grassland and

grassland/wetland complexes. Practices to be implemented include those listed as enhancements in addition to reseeding with native species and restoration of original wetland hydrology.

Results to date - Through Phases 1-8 we have protected 6,490 acres of prairies, wetlands, and grasslands and have enhanced more than 100,000 acres of permanently protected grasslands. The protected acres span our priority geographies. In all cases parcels were

purchased that were directly adjacent to, or contributed to, the functional integrity of existing habitat complexes. Average per acre cost for acquired properties has averaged around \$1,720 per acre. Our enhancement projects have focused on accelerating the implementation of prescribed fire, extensive woody vegetation removal, building the infrastructure for conservation grazing systems and mechanical and chemical treatment of invasive species. Costs for enhancement and restoration work vary depending on the practices being implemented but have averaged around \$80 per acre.

How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?

Temperate grasslands are the most endangered and least protected habitat type on earth, and Minnesota's prairies are no exception. Activities identified in this project directly reflect implementation strategies identified in the MN Prairie Conservation Plan. Properties targeted for acquisition are identified and prioritized using MN County Biological Survey Rare Element Occurrences and Biodiversity Significance. The geographies we are concentrating efforts on, in addition to being Prairie Plan core areas, reflect areas with the highest density and highest quality remaining prairie systems left in the state. By focusing our work in these particular landscapes we increase the functionality of the overall prairie/grassland systems, including increasing water retention, improving breeding and nesting habitat and augmenting migratory corridors. While our work focuses on increasing and maintaining system functionality a number of individual species and suites of SPGCN will directly benefit from this project including:

Insects - habitat management and protection specifically for the federally-threatened Dakota skipper butterfly, potential restoration of habitat for the endangered Poweshiek skipperling and declining regal fritillary butterflies

Mammals - American badger (an indicator species requiring intact blocks of quality habitat), elk (for herd management in NW MN)

Reptiles - hognose snake (primarily in western MN counties of Lac qui Parle, Big Stone and Yellow Medicine), 5-lined skink (rock outcroppings in the upper MN River Valley)

Birds - Grassland dependent birds have experienced precipitous population decline across Minnesota and the northern Great Plains, largely due to habitat loss on the breeding grounds. This project will provide permanently protected and enhanced habitat for a suite of grassland and wetland nesting birds, most notably the Meadowlark,

Bobolink, Dickcissel, Grasshopper sparrow, Henslow's sparrow, upland sandpiper, Black tern, Northern pintail, Greater Prairie-chicken, Sharp tail grouse, and many others.

Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:

The project focuses activities on core/corridor complexes as described in the MN Prairie Plan. The Prairie plan was developed using the best available information for identifying the highest quality/highest density remaining prairie and grassland complexes in the state.

Individual parcels are prioritized using the attached criteria. Important considerations include % of native prairie on tract; adjacency to other native prairie; proximity to other protected lands; and uniqueness and diversity of species present. MN County Biological Survey

data and biodiversity rankings are key tools used to measure these criteria.

Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

- Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan
- Minnesota's Wildlife Action Plan 2015-2025

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Forest / Prairie Transition

Protect, enhance, and restore rare native remnant prairie

Prairie

• Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna

Does this program include leveraged funding?

Yes

Explain the leverage:

The Prairie Recovery Project complements other efforts requesting OHF Funds and represents a collaborative approach to addressing the State's prairie crisis. Other partners engaged throughout the implementation of this project include MN DNR, MN BWSR, US Fish & Wildlife Service, USDA, Pheasants Forever, MN Land Trust, Ducks Unlimited, MN Prairie Chicken Society, local Soil & Water Conservation Districts, private landowners, cattlemen, among others. This collaborative approach to landscape scale conservation as called for in the Prairie Plan results in greater efficiency of action, improved targeting of limited funding dollars, and increased attention to the issue of prairie conservation. The Biologists working under this project serve in leadership roles on the Local Technical Teams in their respective prairie core areas and regularly collaborate with partners to ensure the highest level of efficiency and leverage is achieved.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

All work undertaken utilizing OHF allocations is supplemental to core work normally done by the Conservancy. OHF dollars allow us to increase the pace and scale of protection, restoration, and enhancement of native prairies and critical grasslands identified as priorities in the MN Prairie Conservation Plan.

Non-OHF Appropriations

| Year | Source | Amount |
|------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 2010 | TNC Private Contributions | \$1,228,100 |
| 2011 | TNC Private Contributions | \$1,427,700 |
| 2012 | TNC Private Contributions | \$851,300 |
| 2013 | TNC Private Contributions | \$1,119,900 |
| 2014 | TNC Private Contributions | \$412,600 |
| 2015 | TNC Private Contributions | \$22,300 |

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

Protection, restoration, and enhancement are all critical tools for the long-term viability of Minnesota's prairie/wetland systems. The prairie pothole landscape can only be sustained through the regular application of disturbance, including fire, grazing and haying. A

primary purpose of this proposal is to continue a highly successful collaborative and coordinated partnership that accelerates the use of these practices across multiple landscapes. In many cases requested funds will develop infrastructure and enable completion of

one-time large expenses such as woody species removal and installation of fencing for conservation grazing. Once the initial activities are completed we expect long-term maintenance costs to moderate. The Nature Conservancy will continue to seek mechanisms that derive revenue from grazing, haying and seed production consistent with our conservation goals. All resulting income will be placed in a dedicated account for future property tax payments and management of properties acquired with Outdoor Heritage Funds. Our past efforts show that revenue generation is insufficient to pay for all associated expenses, therefore we plan to seek future funding from the Outdoor Heritage Fund along with private contributions for long-term stewardship needs.

Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes

| Year | Source of Funds | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------|--------|
| Once every 4-5 years | OHF, Private | Prescribed Fire | - | - |
| | Contributions` | | | |
| Annually` | OHF, Private | Invasive Species | - | - |
| | Contributions | search and treatment | | |
| As needed | OHF, Private | Conservation Grazing | - | - |
| | Contributions, USDA, | | | |
| | Lease income | | | |

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?

Yes

Will county board or other local government approval <u>be formally sought**</u> prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j)?

No

Describe any measures to inform local governments of land acquisition under their jurisdiction:

We will follow the county/township board notification processes as directed by current statutory language.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection?

Yes

Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program?

Yes

Is the restoration and enhancement activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, Subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 or on lands to be acquired in this program? Yes

Where does the activity take place?

- WMA
- WPA
- SNA
- AMA
- Permanently Protected Conservation Easements
- Refuge Lands

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program?

Yes

Explain what will be planted:

Short-term use of agricultural crops is an accepted Best Practice forpreparing a site for prairie restoration. For example short-term use of soybeans or other commercial crops can be used for restorations in order to control weed seedbeds prior to prairie planting. In some cases this necessitates the use of GMO treated products to facilitate herbicide use in order to control weeds present in the seedbank. Neonicitinoide treated seed will not be used. We would not expect agricultural crop use to exceed 3 years on any given OHF acquired property. We continue to explore the viability of alternative restoration techniques to minimize the need to farm restoration sites, including expanded use of cover crops.

Are any of the crop types planted GMO treated?

True

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?

No

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?

Yes

Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:

No variations anticipated. 4/8/2021 parcel addition: Hole in the Mountain 2 parcel lies within the Lake Benton city limits and hunting will be allowed in accordance with city ordinance guidelines.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

NGO

Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

We expect to complete 2-5 acquisitions with the recommended allocation.

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

No

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?

No

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?

Yes

Intact native prairie is our target for acquisition, however many parcels require initial restoration efforts to maximize habitat benefits. All grassland parcels require periodic enhancement to maintain their condition and function as prairies.

Timeline

| Activity Name | Estimated Completion Date |
|---|---------------------------|
| Protect 240 acres w/out PILT | June 2022 |
| Enhance 18,000 acres permanently protected grasslands | June 2024 |
| Restore 100 acres grassland/wetland complex | June 2024 |

Date of Final Report Submission: 12/29/2024

Availability of Appropriation: Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. Unless otherwise provided, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2022. For acquisition of real property, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2023, if a binding agreement with a landowner or purchase agreement is entered into by June 30, 2022, and closed no later than June 30, 2023. Funds for restoration or enhancement are available until June 30, 2024, or five years after acquisition, whichever is later, in order to complete initial restoration or enhancement work. If a project receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds, the time of the appropriation may be extended to equal the availability of federal funding to a maximum of six years if that federal funding was confirmed and included in the original draft accomplishment plan. Funds appropriated for fee title acquisition of land may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.

Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

Totals

| Item | Funding Request | Antic. Leverage | Leverage Source | Total |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Personnel | \$754,200 | - | - | \$754,200 |
| Contracts | \$1,399,000 | - | - | \$1,399,000 |
| Fee Acquisition w/ | - | - | - | - |
| PILT | | | | |
| Fee Acquisition w/o | \$381,000 | \$76,000 | TNC | \$457,000 |
| PILT | | | | |
| Easement Acquisition | - | - | - | - |
| Easement | - | - | - | - |
| Stewardship | | | | |
| Travel | \$59,500 | - | - | \$59,500 |
| Professional Services | \$30,000 | - | - | \$30,000 |
| Direct Support | \$221,200 | \$221,200 | TNC | \$442,400 |
| Services | | | | |
| DNR Land Acquisition | - | - | - | - |
| Costs | | | | |
| Capital Equipment | - | - | - | - |
| Other | \$50,000 | - | - | \$50,000 |
| Equipment/Tools | | | | |
| Supplies/Materials | \$163,100 | - | - | \$163,100 |
| DNR IDP | - | - | - | - |
| Grand Total | \$3,058,000 | \$297,200 | - | \$3,355,200 |

Personnel

| Position | Annual FTE | Years | Funding | Antic. | Leverage | Total |
|------------------|------------|---------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | | Working | Request | Leverage | Source | |
| TNC Science | 0.1 | 2.0 | \$7,500 | - | - | \$7,500 |
| Project | 0.6 | 2.0 | \$48,700 | - | - | \$48,700 |
| Management | | | | | | |
| Grant | 0.12 | 2.0 | \$21,600 | - | - | \$21,600 |
| Administration | | | | | | |
| Habitat Crews | 5.25 | 2.0 | \$213,000 | - | - | \$213,000 |
| Protection Staff | 0.5 | 2.0 | \$93,700 | - | - | \$93,700 |
| Prairie | 2.0 | 2.0 | \$369,700 | - | - | \$369,700 |
| Recovery | | | | | | |
| Specialists | | | | | | |

Amount of Request: \$3,058,000 **Amount of Leverage:** \$297,200

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 9.72%

DSS + Personnel: \$975,400

As a % of the total request: 31.9%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

We will proportionately scale back the outcomes and associated budget line items to correspond to the reduced

recommendation. Because this Phase is part of a larger ongoing program we expect to maintain continuity with existing activities.

Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

The leverage offered on the DSS line item reflects one-half of the Conservancy's federally approved indirect rate. The leverage found

on the Acquire in Fee without PILT line represents 20% of acquisition costs placed in an endowment ensuring long-term management

and property tax obligations are met. Both represent private contributions.

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

Yes. The contract line item is dedicated to enhancement and restoration work. Typical contractors include private vendors and

Conservation Corps of MN/IA.

Travel

Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

Yes

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodgingTravel line item includes approximately \$4,500 for short term vehicle rentals, primarily for Project coordinator and Protection Specialists.

Long term truck lease costs for the Prairie Recovery Biologists are reflected in the Other Equipment line item

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

No

Direct Support Services

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

DSS is based on The Nature Conservancy's Federally Negotiated rate as proposed and subsequently approved by the US Dept. of

Interior. The portion requested from the grant represents 50% of this rate, with the remaining 50% contributed as leverage.

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?

No

Output Tables

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

| Type | Wetland | Prairie | Forest | Habitat | Total Acres |
|--|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------------------|
| Restore | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | 0 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 240 |
| Protect in Easement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enhance | 4,000 | 14,000 | 0 | 0 | 18,000 |
| Total | 4,000 | 14,340 | 0 | 0 | 18,340 |

How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie? (Table 1b)

| Type | Native Prairie (acres) |
|--|------------------------------|
| Restore | 0 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | 240 |
| Protect in Easement | 0 |
| Enhance | 12,000 |
| Total | 12,240 |

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

| Type | Wetland | Prairie | Forest | Habitat | Total Funding |
|--|-----------|-------------|--------|---------|---------------|
| Restore | - | \$100,000 | - | - | \$100,000 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | ı | - | - | 1 |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | \$381,000 | - | - | \$381,000 |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - | - | - |
| Enhance | \$680,000 | \$1,897,000 | - | - | \$2,577,000 |
| Total | \$680,000 | \$2,378,000 | - | - | \$3,058,000 |

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

| Туре | Metro/Urban | Forest/Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N. Forest | Total Acres |
|--|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| Restore | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 100 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 240 |
| Protect in Easement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enhance | 0 | 9,000 | 0 | 9,000 | 0 | 18,000 |
| Total | 0 | 9,050 | 0 | 9,290 | 0 | 18,340 |

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

| Туре | Metro/Urban | Forest/Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N. Forest | Total Funding |
|---|-------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------------------|
| Restore | - | \$50,000 | - | \$50,000 | - | \$100,000 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | - | - | \$381,000 | - | \$381,000 |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | \$1,288,500 | - | \$1,288,500 | - | \$2,577,000 |
| Total | - | \$1,338,500 | - | \$1,719,500 | - | \$3,058,000 |

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

| Type | Wetland | Prairie | Forest | Habitat |
|------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Type | WCHanu | 1 Tall IC | 1 UI CSC | Habitat |

| Restore | - | \$1,000 | - | - |
|--|-------|---------|---|---|
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | • | • | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | \$1,587 | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - | - |
| Enhance | \$170 | \$135 | - | - |

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

| Туре | Metro/Urban | Forest/Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N. Forest |
|---|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Restore | - | \$1,000 | - | \$1,000 | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | - | - | \$1,587 | - |
| Protect in Easement | - | ı | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | \$143 | - | \$143 | - |

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Outcomes

Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

• Wetland and upland complexes will consist of native prairies, restored prairies, quality grasslands, and restored shallow lakes and wetlands ~ *Protection results will be measured against MN Prairie Conservation Plan goals for protected acres of native prairie and associated grassland for each geography.*

Enhancement results will be measured using protocols developed for the multi-agency Grassland Monitoring Network.

Programs in prairie region:

• Remnant native prairies are part of large complexes of restored prairies, grasslands, and large and small wetlands ~ Protection results will be measured against MN Prairie Conservation Plan goals for protected acres of native prairie and associated grassland for each geography.

Enhancement results will be measured using protocols developed for the multi-agency Grassland Monitoring Network.

Parcels

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria?

<u>Yes</u>

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

Restore / Enhance Parcels

| Name | County | TRDS | Acres | Est Cost | Existing |
|------|---------------|----------|-------|----------|------------|
| | | | | | Protection |
| NA | Becker | 13942201 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Becker | 13942201 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Big Stone | 12446210 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Big Stone | 12446210 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Chippewa | 11739213 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Clay | 14247204 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Cottonwood | 10734220 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Cottonwood | 10734220 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Kandiyohi | 12233215 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Kandiyohi | 12233215 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Kittson | 16045206 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Kittson | 16045206 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Lac qui Parle | 11943211 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Lac qui Parle | 11943211 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Lincoln | 10945217 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Lincoln | 11739213 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Lincoln | 10945217 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Lyon | 11243218 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Lyon | 11243218 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Mahnomen | 14642208 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Mahnomen | 14642208 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Murray | 10740210 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Murray | 10740210 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Nobles | 10140225 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Nobles | 10140225 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Norman | 14647203 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Norman | 14647203 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Pennington | 15345230 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Pennington | 15345230 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Pipestone | 10846219 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Polk | 15449201 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Polk | 15449201 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Pope | 12336214 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Pope | 12336214 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Red Lake | 15144202 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Red Lake | 15144202 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Rock | 10345227 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |

Project #: None

| NA | Rock | 10345227 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
|----|----------|----------|----|---------|-----|
| NA | Roseau | 16242207 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Roseau | 16242207 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Stearns | 12335216 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Stearns | 12335216 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Swift | 12042212 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Swift | 12042212 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Traverse | 12548220 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Traverse | 12548220 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Wilkin | 13647205 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Wilkin | 13647205 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| NA | Yellow | 11546221 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| | Medicine | | | | |
| NA | Yellow | 11546221 | 99 | \$1,000 | Yes |
| | Medicine | | | | |

Protect Parcels

| Name | County | TRDS | Acres | Est Cost | Existing |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------|-------|-----------|------------|
| | | | | | Protection |
| NA | Becker | 13942201 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Becker | 13942201 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Big Stone | 12446210 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Big Stone | 12446210 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Chippewa | 11739213 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Chippewa | 11739213 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Clay | 14247204 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| Bluestem Prairie Complex Addition | Clay | 13846203 | 154 | \$303,000 | No |
| Blazing Star Prairie Addition #1 | Clay | 14245208 | 8 | \$51,000 | No |
| NA | Clay | 14247204 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Cottonwood | 10734220 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Cottonwood | 10734220 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| Regal Meadow WMA addition | Kandiyohi | 12234201 | 80 | \$400,000 | No |
| NA | Kandiyohi | 12233215 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Kandiyohi | 12233215 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Kittson | 16045206 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Kittson | 16045206 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Lac qui Parle | 11943211 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Lac qui Parle | 11943211 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| Hole in the Mountain addition 2 | Lincoln | 10945207 | 37 | \$96,000 | No |
| Hole in the Mountain Addition #3 | Lincoln | 10945218 | 45 | \$405,000 | No |
| Hole In The Mountain Addition | Lincoln | 10945218 | 199 | \$303,117 | No |
| NA | Lincoln | 10945217 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Lincoln | 10945217 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Lyon | 11243218 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Lyon | 11243218 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Mahnomen | 14642208 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Mahnomen | 14642208 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Murray | 10740210 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Murray | 10740210 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Nobles | 10140225 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Nobles | 10140225 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Norman | 14647203 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| Twin Valley Preserve addition | Norman | 14345226 | 160 | \$260,000 | No |
| Cupido WMA addition | Norman | 14345226 | 160 | \$260,000 | No |
| NA | Norman | 14647203 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Pennington | 15345230 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Pennington | 15345230 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |

Project #: None

| NA | Pipestone | 10846219 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----|-----------|----|
| NA | Pipestone | 10846219 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| Agassiz Dunes SNA Addition | Polk | 14744229 | 116 | \$301,000 | No |
| NA | Polk | 15449201 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Polk | 15449201 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Pope | 12336214 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Pope | 12336214 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Red Lake | 15144202 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Red Lake | 15144202 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Rock | 10345227 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Rock | 10345227 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Roseau | 16242207 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Roseau | 16242207 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Stearns | 12335216 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Stearns | 12335216 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Swift | 12042212 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Swift | 12042212 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Traverse | 12548220 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Traverse | 12548220 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Wilkin | 13647205 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Wilkin | 13647205 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| NA | Yellow | 11546221 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| | Medicine | | | • | |
| NA | Yellow | 11546221 | 99 | \$1,000 | No |
| | Medicine | | | · | |

Parcel Map

